

Air LiHa Implementation Plan

Architecture Decision

Option Considered: New LiHa Subclass

The LiHa class (`EVO_backend.py` lines 893-1203) is a thin firmware command wrapper — it sends commands like PVL, SEP, PPR etc. It doesn't contain conversion logic or volume calculations. The conversion factors ($\times 3$ for steps, $\times 6$ for speed) live in the EVOBackend methods (`_aspirate_action`, `_dispense_action`, `_aspirate_airgap`, `pick_up_tips`). A LiHa subclass would not help.

Option Considered: Parameterize Existing EVOBackend

Adding `steps_per_ul` and `speed_factor` parameters to EVOBackend would change the constructor signature and add complexity for all users, even those with syringe LiHa.

Recommended: EVOBackend Subclass (AirEVOBackend)

Rationale: - The Air LiHa differs from syringe LiHa in **init sequence**, **conversion factors**, and **per-operation commands** — these are all EVOBackend-level concerns - Subclassing keeps the existing EVOBackend completely untouched - Clean separation: syringe users use `EVOBackend`, air users use `AirEVOBackend` - The ZaapMotion configuration is specific to the Air LiHa hardware variant - `TipType.AIRDITI` already exists in the codebase — just needs liquid class data

Implementation Steps

Step 1: Create AirEVOBackend class

File: `pylabrobot/liquid_handling/backends/tecan/air_evo_backend.py`

```
class AirEVOBackend(EVOBackend):
```

Overrides: - `setup()` — adds ZaapMotion boot exit + motor config before calling `super().setup()` - `_aspirate_action()` — uses 106.4/213 conversion factors instead of 3/6 - `_dispense_action()` — same conversion factor change - `_aspirate_airgap()` — same conversion factor change - `pick_up_tips()` — same conversion factor change - `aspirate()` — wraps with SFR/SFP/SDP commands around plunger operations - `dispense()` — wraps with SFR/SFP/SDP commands around plunger operations - `drop_tips()` — adds SDT command before AST, wraps with SFR/SFP/SDP

Constants (class-level):

```
STEPS_PER_UL = 106.4      # vs 3 for syringe
SPEED_FACTOR = 213       # vs 6 for syringe (half-steps/sec per  $\mu\text{L/s}$ )
```

```

SFR_ACTIVE = 133120      # force ramp during plunger movement
SFR_IDLE = 3752         # force ramp at rest
SDP_DEFAULT = 1400      # default dispense parameter

```

ZaapMotion config data:

```

ZAAPMOTION_CONFIG = [      # 33 commands per tip, from USB capture
    "CFE 255,500",
    "CAD ADCA,0,12.5",
    ...
    "WRP",
]

```

Step 2: Add ZaapMotion liquid classes

File: `pylabrobot/liquid_handling/liquid_classes/tecan.py`

Add entries to the mapping dict with `TipType.AIRDITI` key, parsed from `DefaultLCs.XML`. Start with the most common liquid classes: - Water free dispense (DiTi 50) - Water wet contact (DiTi 50) - DMSO free dispense (DiTi 50)

Each liquid class entry maps a `(min_vol, max_vol, Liquid, TipType.AIRDITI)` tuple to a `TecanLiquidClass` with the calibration factor, speeds, air gap volumes, and LLD settings from the XML.

Step 3: Export the new backend

File: `pylabrobot/liquid_handling/backends/tecan/__init__.py`

Add `AirEVOBackend` to exports.

Step 4: Add helper method for ZaapMotion commands

In `AirEVOBackend`:

```

async def _zaapmotion_force_mode(self, enable: bool):
    """Send SFR/SFP/SDP to all tips for force mode control."""
    sfr_val = self.SFR_ACTIVE if enable else self.SFR_IDLE
    for tip in range(8):
        await self.send_command("C5", command=f"T2{tip}SFR{sfr_val}")
    if enable:
        for tip in range(8):
            await self.send_command("C5", command=f"T2{tip}SFP1")
    else:
        for tip in range(8):
            await self.send_command("C5", command=f"T2{tip}SDP{self.SDP_DEFAULT}")

```

Step 5: Tests

File: `pylabrobot/liquid_handling/backends/tecan/air_evo_tests.py`

- Test conversion factors: verify `_aspirate_action` produces correct steps for known volumes
- Test `ZaapMotion` config sequence: mock USB and verify all 33×8 config commands are sent
- Test SFR/SFP/SDP wrapping: verify force mode commands surround plunger operations
- Test setup sequence: verify boot exit + config + safety + PIA order

Files Changed

File	Change	Risk
<code>backends/tecan/air_evo_backend.py</code>	NEW Backend AirEVOBackend subclass	None (new file)
<code>backends/tecan/__init__.py</code>	Add export	Minimal
<code>liquid_classes/tecan.py</code>	Add <code>ZaapDiTi</code> mapping entries	None (additive)
<code>backends/tecan/air_evo_tests.py</code>	NEW Tests posts	None (new file)

Files NOT changed: - `EVO_backend.py` — untouched, syringe LiHa users unaffected - `tip_creators.py` — `TipType.AIRDITI` already exists - `machine.py`, `backend.py` — no framework changes

Usage

```
from pylabrobot.liquid_handling import LiquidHandler
from pylabrobot.liquid_handling.backends.tecan import AirEVOBackend
from pylabrobot.resources.tecan.tecan_decks import EVO150Deck

backend = AirEVOBackend(diti_count=8)
deck = EVO150Deck()
lh = LiquidHandler(backend=backend, deck=deck)
await lh.setup() # handles ZaapMotion boot exit, config, safety, PIA
```

Open Questions

1. **Should `ZaapMotion` config values be constructor parameters?**
The PID gains, current limits, etc. may vary between instruments. For now, hardcode the values from our USB capture; parameterize later if needed.

2. **Should `_zaapmotion_force_mode` send to all 8 tips or only active channels?** EVOware always sends to all 8 regardless of which channels are in use. Start with all 8 for compatibility.
3. **Does WRP (write to flash) make the config persistent?** If so, the config commands only need to be sent on first boot after power cycle. We could check RCS (Report Configuration Status) first and skip config if already configured. EVOware does this — it checks RCS and skips the full config if the ZaaPMotion is already in app mode with config present.
4. **Multi-dispense and other advanced operations** — the USB capture with multidispense shows the same SFR/SFP/SDP pattern. No additional ZaaPMotion commands are needed beyond what's described here.